

International Journal of Modern Physics A
 © World Scientific Publishing Company

MIRROR WORLD AND AXION: RELAXING COSMOLOGICAL BOUNDS

MAURIZIO GIANNOTTI

*Dipartimento di Fisica and Sezione INFN di Ferrara,
 via Paradiso 12, I-44100 Ferrara, Italy
 giannotti@fe.infn.it*

Received (Day Month Year)

Revised (Day Month Year)

The cosmological (upper) limit on the Peccei-Quinn constant, related to the primordial oscillations of the axion field, can be relaxed for a mirror axion model. The simple reason is that the mirror world is colder and so the behavior of the axion temperature-dependent mass is dominated by the contribution from the mirror sector. So the coherent oscillations start earlier and correspondingly the axion mass density $\Omega_a h^2$ is reduced.

Keywords: Axion; mirror world; cosmology.

The CP violating term $\mathcal{L}_\Theta = \Theta(\alpha_s/8\pi)G_{\mu\nu}\tilde{G}^{\mu\nu}$, in the QCD Lagrangian, leads to observable effects (e.g. non zero neutron electric dipole moment), experimentally not observed. This fact is referred to as the strong CP problem (for a general reference see Ref. 1).

In the Peccei-Quinn (PQ) mechanism,² which is the most appealing solution of this problem, the parameter Θ becomes a dynamical field, the axion $a = (f_{\text{PQ}}/N)\Theta$, whose potential is minimized for the CP even configuration $\langle a \rangle = 0$. The axion emerges as the pseudo-Goldstone mode of a spontaneously broken global axial symmetry $U(1)_{\text{PQ}}$. Here f_{PQ} is a constant, with dimension of energy, called the PQ constant, and N stands for the color anomaly of $U(1)_{\text{PQ}}$ current.¹ In the following, we will usually refer to the constant $f_a = f_{\text{PQ}}/N$, which characterizes the axion phenomenology. Astrophysical considerations exclude all the value of f_a up to 10^{10} GeV.^{1,3} On the other hand, the cosmological limit, related to the primordial oscillations of the axion field, demands the upper bound $f_a < 10^{12}$ GeV.^{1,3} Let us briefly review the origin of this last limit.

In most axion models, the PQ symmetry breaking occurs when a complex scalar field, ϕ , which carries PQ charge, acquires a vacuum expectation value (VEV) $\langle \phi \rangle \sim f_{\text{PQ}}$. This occurs as the temperature of the universe cools down below the value of the PQ temperature. As said, the axion is identified with the angular degree of freedom $a = f_a \Theta$. Today Θ is settled in the CP-conserving minimum $\Theta = 0$.

2 *M. Giannotti*

However, after the PQ symmetry breaking, as the temperature is of the order of the PQ scale, the axion is massless and the initial value of the phase Θ is chosen stochastically. We indicate this initial value with Θ_i .

When the universe is cold enough for the QCD phase transition, instanton effects curve the potential so that the axion acquires a non zero mass $m_a(T) = mQ(T)$, where m is the zero temperature limit:⁴

$$m \simeq 0.5 \frac{f_\pi m_\pi}{f_a} \simeq 0.62 \text{ eV} \frac{10^7 \text{ GeV}}{f_a} . \quad (1)$$

The function $Q(T)$ for temperature $T \gg \Lambda$ ($\Lambda \sim 200$ MeV is the QCD scale) was calculated in Ref. 5 and can be approximated as:⁶

$$Q(T) = A \left(\frac{\Lambda}{T} \right)^b , \quad (2)$$

where $A = 0.1 \times 10^{\pm 0.5}$ and $b = 3.7 \pm 0.1$. This power law is valid when $T > \Lambda$ while for $T \ll \Lambda$ we have $Q = 1$ and the mass becomes independent of the temperature. We use this relation until $Q(T) < 1$, that is until $T > T_c = A^{1/b} \Lambda \simeq 100$ MeV, and assume that $Q(T) = 1$ for $T < T_c$.

Then Θ starts to roll down, slowed by the Hubble expansion, following the equation:

$$\ddot{\Theta} + 3H(T)\dot{\Theta} + m_a^2(T)\Theta = 0 , \quad (3)$$

where $H(T) = 1.66 g_*^{1/2} T^2 / M_{Pl}$ is the Hubble expansion rate. When the curvature term dominates on the (Hubble) friction term, Θ begins to oscillate with the frequency $m_a(T)$. This happens at the temperature value $T = T_i$ defined by the equation $m_a(T_i) = 3H(T_i) \approx 5g_{*i}^{1/2} T_i^2 / M_{Pl}$, where $M_{Pl} = 1.22 \times 10^{19}$ GeV is the Plank mass and $g_{*i} = g_*(T_i)$ is the effective number of the particle degrees of freedom at $T = T_i$.^a

For temperatures $T < T_i$, when the coherent oscillations commence, eq. (3) can be considerably simplified, and leads to the quite simple result $n_a R^3 = \text{const}$, where $n_a(T) = \rho_a(T) / m_a(T)$ is the axion number density. In other words, the number of axions in a comoving volume remains in fact constant during the universe expansion. We further assume that no entropy production takes place after the moment when the axion field begins to oscillate. Then the axion number density to the entropy density ratio, $\eta_a = n_a(T) / s(T)$, remains constant at $T < T_i$, and thus the present energy density of axions is $\rho_a^0 = \eta_a s_0 m$, where $s_0 = (2\pi^2/45) g_0^s T_0^3$ is the present entropy density, $g_0^s = 3.91$ and $T_0 = 2.725$ K is the CMB temperature. At the initial moment t_i , the axion number density is $n_a(T_i) \simeq \rho_a(T_i) / m_a(T_i) \simeq \frac{1}{2} m_a(T_i) \Theta_i^2 f_a^2$.

^aFor the standard particle content, $g_*(T) = 110.75$ for $T > 100$ GeV, 86.25 for $100 \text{ GeV} > T > 5$ GeV, 75.75 for $5 \text{ GeV} > T > 2$ GeV, 61.75 for $2 \text{ GeV} > T > \Lambda$, 17.25 for $\Lambda > T > 100$ MeV, 10.75 for $100 \text{ MeV} > T > 1$ MeV.

Comparing to the critical density $\rho_{\text{cr}} = 8.1h^2 \cdot 10^{-47} \text{ GeV}^4$, we obtain:

$$\Omega_a h^2 = 0.77 \frac{\Theta_i^2 f_{12}}{g_{*i}^{1/2} T_{i1}}, \quad (4)$$

where $T_{i1} = (T_i/1 \text{ GeV})$ and $f_{12} = f_a/10^{12} \text{ GeV}$.

Substituting (2) in the expression $m_a(T_i) = 3H(T_i) \approx 5g_{*i}^{1/2} T_i^2/M_{Pl}$, which defines the temperature T_i , we obtain:

$$T_i = \Lambda \left(\frac{AmM_{Pl}}{5g_{*i}^{1/2}\Lambda^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{b+2}} \simeq f_{12}^{-0.175} \Lambda_{200}^{0.65} \times (0.9 \pm 0.2) \text{ GeV}, \quad (5)$$

where $\Lambda_{200} = (\Lambda/200 \text{ MeV})$ and the uncertainties of about 20 percent are related to uncertainties in parameters A and b . This estimation very weakly depends on f_{12} and thus for the Peccei-Quinn scales of the cosmological relevance, starting from the astrophysical bound $f_a \geq 10^{10} \text{ GeV}$ to f_a order GUT scale 10^{15-16} GeV , T_i changes within interval $2 \text{ GeV} - 200 \text{ MeV}$ and hence $g_{*i}^{1/2} \approx 8$. This estimation is valid, however, until $T_i > T_c = A^{1/b} \Lambda \simeq 100 \text{ MeV}$.^b

Therefore, we obtain:

$$\Omega_a h^2 \simeq 0.10 \Lambda_{200}^{-0.65} \Theta_i^2 f_{12}^{1.175}. \quad (6)$$

Demanding $\Omega_a h^2 < 0.15$, the natural choice $\Theta_i \sim 1$, leads to $f_{12} \lesssim 1.5$.^c

It is worth underlining that the only upper limit on the PQ scale comes from cosmology. This limit is a few order of magnitude less than the typical GUT scale. Thus, due to cosmological considerations, it seems quite improbable to insert the axion in any GUT model. The obvious question is then: how universal is relation (6)? We will show that for a mirror axion model this can change and, consequently, the cosmological bound can be relaxed.

The idea of mirror world was introduced many years ago and has been successfully applied in different sectors of astrophysics and cosmology.⁷ The mirror world consists of another, parallel, sector of "mirror" particles and interactions with the Lagrangian completely similar to that of the ordinary particles. In other words, it has the same gauge group and coupling constants as the ordinary world, so that the Lagrangian of the whole theory is invariant with respect to the Mirror parity (M-parity) which interchanges the two sectors.

The possibility to implement the PQ mechanism in the mirror world scenario is discussed in Ref. 8. The general feature is the following: the total Lagrangian must be of the form $L + L' + \lambda L_{\text{int}}$, where L represents the ordinary Lagrangian,

^bFor $T < T_c$ instead we have $m_a(T) = m$, $g_*(T)^{1/2} \approx 4$ and hence $T_i \simeq f_{12}^{-1/2} \times 60 \text{ GeV}$. This condition holds for $f_a > 3.2 \cdot 10^{17} \Lambda_{200}^{-2} \text{ GeV}$.

^cIf the axion phase transition took place after inflation, then for the initial value Θ_i one implies the *rms* average from a uniform distribution of initial values from $-\pi$ to π , $\overline{\Theta_i} = \pi/\sqrt{3}$. Thus, in this case we obtain an upper limit on $f_{12} < 0.5$. However, if the PQ symmetry were broken before or during inflation, then the value of Θ_i is the same in the whole Universe within the single inflationary patch, and in fact it is an arbitrary parameter selected by some random choice.

L' the mirror one and L_{int} is an interaction term. For $\lambda = 0$, the total Lagrangian contains two identical $U(1)_{axial}$ symmetries, while the L_{int} term breaks these in just the usual $U(1)_{PQ}$. Thus only one axion field results, which is defined in both sectors.

As long as the M-parity is an exact symmetry, the particle physics is exactly the same in the two worlds, and so the strong CP problem is simultaneously solved in both sectors. In particular, the axion couples to both sectors in the same way and their non-perturbative QCD dynamics produce the same contribution to the axion effective potential. This situation does not bring drastic changes of the axion properties; just the axion zero temperature mass is increased by a factor of $\sqrt{2}$ with respect to the standard expression (1). On the other hand, on a cosmological ground, the physics is less trivial. Cosmologically the mirror sector cannot be identical to ours. If so, the energy density due to the extra (mirror) degrees of freedom would be much bigger than what is allowed in the standard cosmology scenario. The mirror sector must then be colder than ours by a factor $x = T'/T < 0.64$.^d As a consequence, the energy density of the whole universe is essentially the ordinary one, and the Hubble expansion is completely driven by our sector: $H(T) \sim 1.66 g_*^{1/2} T^2 / M_{Pl}$, where g_* counts only the ordinary degrees of freedom.

Let us consider, now, the primordial oscillations of the axion field for a generic mirror axion model. For this case, we have $m_a = \sqrt{2}m$ in the zero temperature limit. However, at finite temperatures the behavior of the axion mass is dominated by the contribution from the mirror sector, which has a lower temperature than the ordinary one, $T' \ll T$, and thus we have $m_a(T) \approx mA(\Lambda/xT)^b$. Therefore, the axion mass grows faster with the temperature, and the temperature T_i at which the axion field starts to oscillate scales as $x^{-0.65}$. Correspondingly, the axion mass density $\Omega_a h^2$ roughly scales as $\sqrt{2}x^{0.65}$. E.g. for $x = 0.1$, we obtain $\Omega_a h^2$ about 3 times smaller than in the estimate (6).

However, this situation is valid until the condition $T_i(x) > T_c(x)$, where $T_c(x)$ is defined as the temperature at which $m_a(T) = m$. This condition roughly translates in $x > 0.01 f_{12}^{1/2}$. In this case we obtain:

$$T_i = \sqrt{\frac{m M_{Pl}}{5 g_{*i}^{1/2}}} \approx \frac{123 \text{ GeV}}{g_{*i}^{1/4} f_{12}^{1/2}}, \quad (7)$$

and hence:

$$\Omega_a h^2 = 2.7 \cdot 10^{-3} \Theta_i^2 f_{12}^{3/2}, \quad (8)$$

which, for $\Theta_i \sim 1$, leads to the upper limit $f_{12} < 15$.

^dMore precisely, all the mirror degrees of freedom are equivalent to $\Delta N_\nu \simeq 6.14$ extra neutrinos, in evident contradiction with the observation of the Helium abundance predicted by Big Bang Nucleosynthesis (BBN). The value $x = 0.64$ corresponds to $\Delta N_\nu = 1$. For more details see Ref. 9. For a complete discussion on the limit on ΔN allowed by BBN, e.g. see Ref. 10 and references therein.

In conclusion, we have considered here a very simple model for mirror axion. In fact, in this, the axion properties are essentially the same as for the standard invisible axion, and only the mass is increased by a factor $\sqrt{2}$. On the other hand, we have shown that its cosmology is non trivial, because of the different temperature of the mirror sector. This leads to a weaker cosmological bound on the PQ scale. In particular it can be closer to the GUT scale.

Acknowledgments

This talk is based on the work in Ref. 11. I am grateful to the organizers of the Sixth Alexander Friedmann International Seminar on Gravitation and Cosmology, for inviting me to this interesting conference.

References

1. J. E. Kim, *Phys. Rep.* **150**, 1 (1987); H. Y. Cheng, *Phys. Rep.* **158**, 1 (1988).
2. R. D. Peccei and H. R. Quinn, *Phys. Rev.* **D16**, 1791 (1977).
3. G. G. Raffelt, *Phys. Rep.* **198**, 1 (1990); G. G. Raffelt, *Stars as Laboratories for Fundamental Physics* (Univ. Chicago Press, Chicago, 1996).
4. E. Kolb and M. Turner, *The early Universe* (Addison-Wesley, Redwood City, 1990).
5. D. Gross, R. Pisarski and L. Yaffe, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **53**, 43 (1981).
6. M. S. Turner, *Phys. Rev.* **D33**, 889 (1986).
7. T. D. Li and C. N. Yang, *Phys. Rev.* **104**, 254 (1956); Y. Kobzarev, L. Okun and I. Pomeranchuk, *Yad. Fiz.* **3**, 1154 (1966); S. Blinnikov and M. Khlopov, *Astron. Zh.* **60**, 632 (1983); B. Holdom, *Phys. Lett.* **B166**, 196 (1985); S. L. Glashow, *Phys. Lett.* **B167**, 35 (1986); M. Khlopov *et al.*, *Astron. Zh.* **68**, 42 (1991); R. Foot, H. Lew and R. Volkas, *Phys. Lett.* **B272**, 67 (1991); R. Foot, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A9**, 169 (1994); R. Foot and R. Volkas, *Phys. Rev.* **D52**, 6595 (1995); Z. Silagadze, *Phys. At. Nucl.* **60**, 272 (1997); S. Blinnikov, astro-ph/9801015, astro-ph/9902305; Z. Berezhiani, D. Comelli and F. L. Villante, *Phys. Lett.* **B503**, 362 (2001), and references therein.
8. V. A. Rubakov, *JETP Lett.* **65**, 621 (1997); Z. Berezhiani, L. Gianfagna and M. Giannotti, *Phys. Lett.* **B500**, 286 (2001); L. Gianfagna, M. Giannotti and F. Nesti, *JHEP* **0410**, 044 (2004).
9. Z. Berezhiani, D. Comelli and F. L. Villante, *Phys. Lett.* **B503**, 362 (2001).
10. F. L. Villante and A. D. Dolgov, hep-ph/0310138.
11. Z. Berezhiani, L. Gianfagna and M. Giannotti, in preparation.